

# DSN Radar Upgrade Study

---

1. Current Situation
  2. Study Goals & Execution
  3. Summary of Results
  4. Conclusions
- 

**Jon D. Giorgini**

P. W. Chodas, M. A. Slade, R. A. Preston, D. K. Yeomans

*Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology*

© 2008 California Institute of Technology. Government sponsorship acknowledged.

Most solid objects in solar system are covered with craters:




Moon


Asteroid 433 Eros




Mars



Venus








Tectonics, weathering & sedimentation erase craters on Earth, BUT ...

- 175+ confirmed impact craters (PASSC, 2008)
- A few hundred other potential sites (Rajmon, 2008)





The Virginian-Pilot

## How Much Warning?


- If an asteroid is on a collision course, when will we know impact is likely?
- NASA supports spacecraft missions and ground-based optical efforts to find and assess potentially hazardous objects.
- How do DSN radar observations relate to this effort, and what role do they have in assessing risk?
- A study to statistically assess ground-based radar capabilities was performed.

# JPL/NASA Near-Earth Object Program Office



LINEAR telescope, Socorro NM

Known Near-Earth Asteroids



**Goal:** Discover 90% of near-Earth objects >1 km by 2009 ... assess risk

- Size-limit for impact to have global effects
- Formal start in 1998
- Currently: ~82% discovery level (757 of ~920)
- \$4.1 million / year budget

**Next:** “Potentially Hazardous Asteroids” within 0.05 AU of Earth’s orbit  
Discover 90% of PHAs > 140 m diameter by end of 2020  
Pan-STARRS to increase discovery rate ~10x (2009+)

# Radar Systems



- Two adequately sized & powered RADAR transmitters:
  - **DSS-14**: 70 meter, ~430 kw (X-band), steerable (~all sky)
  - **Arecibo**: 305 meter, ~900 kw (S-band), pointing within 20 deg of zenith
- Optical discovery as “pixel” ... narrow beam-width radar follow-up resolves
- Radar can provide **imaging & trajectory** data comparable to spacecraft, for objects of greatest interest: those that encounter Earth
- Historically, ~2% of system time available/used for asteroid targets

# Prediction Improvement Using Radar

## Of 230 radar-detected NEOs:

- 80% detected in last 10 years ...

## Radar delay-Doppler measurements:

- Time-delay to 8 m (150-300 m typical)
- Doppler to 1.6 mm/s (8 mm/s typical)
- Used with optical RA/DEC angles in orbit soln.

- Radar astrometry has been obtained for:

3.5% of 5718 known **NEOs**

7.7% of 810 known **NEOs > 1 km**

12.6% of 981 known **PHAs**

25.5% of 145 known **PHAs > 1 km**


- **Reduces orbit uncertainties**  $\sim 10^5$  (at discovery)

Interesting



More  
interesting

RADAR DETECTIONS OF NEAR-EARTH ASTEROIDS



Figures: L. Benner



1999 JM8

For PHAs, historical average prediction extent is ...

1st apparition : **+400 years w/radar, +80 years without radar**

2nd apparition: **+800 years with or w/o radar (radar can still cut uncertainties 50%)**

**Radar extends prediction window at discovery 5x, on average**



# Radar Performance Upgrade Study

## Purpose:

Provide data relevant to DSN decisions on future NEO radar capability

## Goal: Simulate three radar upgrade variations, in combinations:

1. Doubling GSSR radar transmit power (430 → 900 kw)
2. New southern hemisphere site 70 m @ 900 kw (Canberra)
3. Increasing delay accuracy (0.125 → 0.026 usec)


... with respect to:

- Detectability
- Trajectory predictability
- Physical characterization

Implementation, logistics & observational goals **NOT** part of study



# Why a Simulation?



## Current Process:

1. Optical discovery
2. Assess: good radar target?  
(visibility, SNR, scheduling)
3. Orbit refinement (more optical);  
can take days or weeks
4. Pointing uncertainties small  
enough for radar?

- Impact probability is computed using the predicted **position** and predicted **position uncertainties**.
- Such predictions of the future depend on an **orbit solution** and its uncertainties.
- Orbit solution uncertainties depend on **measurements** of the object and their uncertainties.
- Therefore, a simulation was used to capture the full range of measurements and orbits for the impact problem.

# Underlying Issues

## 1) Radar detectability & characterization depend on echo strength:



- Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR); a function of ...
  - Observatory parameters: **transmit power**, **receiver performance**
  - $1 / (\text{range})^4$  [gets weak fast with distance; main-belt limit]
  - $(\text{diameter})^{1.5}$  [bigger target, stronger echo]
  - $(\text{rotational period})^{0.5}$  [slower spin, stronger echo]
  - $\cos(\text{sub-radar latitude})^{-0.5}$  [stronger echo as view  $\rightarrow$  pole]
- Echoes must be summed (“integrated”) over time

## 2) Trajectory predictability depends on ...

- Fraction of orbit sampled by measurements
- Accuracy and precision of measurements
- Interval between measurement & time of prediction
- Forces acting on object

# Study Approach


To capture these issues, a full simulation was developed:



- Representative population of 989 simulated PHA orbits [Chesley & Spahr, 2003]
- All objects impact Earth ... by design
- Start with position 80 years prior to impact
- Numerically integrate three object sizes *per orbit* to impact:  
large (diam.= 700 m), medium (d= 140 m), small (d= 70 m)
- Find all times during 80 year interval when optically observable  
(**after optical discovery**), or radar detectable



# Study Approach



- Simulate reasonable optical & radar measurements

## Optical rules

Standard (H,G) magnitude model  
 Solar elongation cut-off at 55 deg  
 No observations within 20 deg of Moon  
 or within 3 days of full moon.  
 Discovery at  $V_{lim} = 20$ , follow-up to  $V_{lim} = 22$   
 One obs. every 4 days ( $V = 21$ ), to 4 per day ( $V = 18$ )  
 No observation errors


## Radar rules:

GSSR/CSSR/Arecibo:  
 - Dec. limits, xmit power & freq.,  
 antenna size & performance,  
 Integration time (3.5 hr, 1.5hr)  
 SNR > 10  
 +/- 8 days around max. SNR  
 One delay, Doppler per day

- Compute impact probability & SNR as observations are added
- Operational software used for simulation
- Considered:
  - ✓ 9 radar/upgrade configurations (including current config.)
  - ✓ 1 optical-only control case
- Total of 118,680 cases
- Simulation run on 26-node CPU cluster (11 GB output)

# Results: Detectability (1)

Earliest Optical Discovery of PHAs Within 80 Years of Impact  
(2008 performance levels)



## Optical discovery:

700 m: All objects discovered prior to impact

140 m: 1.7% **not** discovered before impact



70 m: 6.2% **not** discovered before impact

## Results: Detectability (2)

- Arecibo  
Capable of detecting **76-98%** of discovered PHAs (>1 year prior to impact)
- Current GSSR:  
Capable of detecting **69-92%** of discovered PHAs (>1 year prior to impact)
- **Doubling GSSR transmit power:**
  - ✓ Increases detectable population **+5%** (> 1 year prior to impact)
- **New southern site, 70 m @ 900 kw**
  - ✓ Could detect 73-95% of PHA's (**increase +4% over current DSN**)
  - ✓ **2 - 7%** of PHA's are detectable ONLY by southern DSN site
  - ✓ **1 - 5%** of PHA's are detectable ONLY by northern DSN site
- Loss of radar: reduces or eliminates warning, especially for 7-23% of impactors having "one-time-only" optical observing opportunities >1 year prior to impact (high potential for “unresolved impact uncertainty”)
- Hypothetical “aggressive” radar program (“detect all detectable objects”) with current systems could clarify impact prediction for 1-5% of detectable impactors (those with single apparition optical **AND** radar visibility)




# Results: Detectability & Observing Time



- No significant north/south radar visibility distinction (max. SNR evenly distributed)
- Northern & southern sites each detect 1-7% of population other hemisphere cannot
- A **hypothetical aggressive** DSN radar program (“detect all objects possible”) requires:
  - ... 6+ years of cumulative transmit/receive cycles, over next 80 years, to detect all detectable objects > 140 m (~7500 objects)
  - ... 29+ years xmit/receive to detect all detectable objects 70 -140 m (~37,000 objects)
- **Current rate:** ~140+ calendar yrs for DSN to cover next 20 yrs of new 140 m+ discoveries
- Southern site can increase scheduled time (share load) + increase track length to improve physical characterization. *Still “falls behind” if only 2% time scheduling.*


## Impact Warning Time (700 m)

“Warning time” ... when impact probability reaches 50%



### Optical only:

- Median warning within 2.9 yrs discovery
- 75% of cases reach warning < 5 yrs




### Optical + radar:

- Median warning within 2.3 yrs discovery
- 75% of cases reach warning < 4.2 yrs

- 50% warning usually reached in second apparition
- Radar advances warning time ~9 months for 700 m objects, averaged over population


# Impact Warning Time (140 m)

“Warning time” ... when impact probability reaches 50%



## Optical only:

- Median warning within 10.5 yrs discovery
- 75% of cases reach warning < 18 yrs



## Optical + radar:

- Median warning within 6 yrs discovery
- 75% of cases reach warning < 15 yrs
- *30% warn in 6 months*

- 50% warning usually reached in second apparition
- Radar advances warning time ~4 years for 140 m objects, averaged over population



# Physical Characterization

- **Physical characterization** (science) ... categorized by maximum SNR:

- ✓ Minimal detection, polarization ratio =  $10 < \text{SNR} < 20$
- ✓ Low-resolution shape =  $20 < \text{SNR} < 100$
- ✓ Moderate-resolution shape =  $100 < \text{SNR} < 1000$
- ✓ High-resolution shape (& CHIRP) =  $\text{SNR} > 1000$

PHAs for which high-resolution physical characterization could be obtained:

	<u>Est. No. Objects* &gt;70 m</u>	<u>% pop.&gt;70 m</u>	<u>% pop.&gt;140 m</u>
Arecibo + DSN upgrades	11400	18.1%	22.1%
Current (GSSR @ 430 kw + Arecibo)	11149	17.7%	21.8%
Arecibo alone	10703	17.0%	21.0%
DSN upgrades, no Arecibo	7480	11.9%	15.9%
GSSR @ 430 kw alone	5798	9.2%	13.4%

(Werner, 2002)

- An Arecibo shut-down, leaving ...
  - Current GSSR: -46% reduction in high-resolution radar targets
  - +All upgrades : -33% reduction in high-resolution radar targets
- All upgrades (w/Arecibo): +2% increase in high-resolution radar targets

- Arecibo: more high-resolution **characterization** cases (> receiver collecting area)
  - GSSR : finer spatial resolution for high-SNR targets (CHIRP + Doppler)



## Summary & Conclusions

- Goldstone and Arecibo radars provide essential data relevant to protecting the Earth from impact hazards. They ...
  - ✓ Increase Earth encounter gross predictability 5x (from 80 to 400 years)
  - ✓ Increase impact warning time up to an average of 4 years
  - ✓ Provide physical characterization comparable to a spacecraft mission for cases of greatest interest (objects that come close)
  - ✓ Identify targets of interest for future spacecraft missions
- Existing radar capability is grossly under-utilized for small-bodies
  - Primary limitation: “2%” scheduling & resource sharing
  - Most effective upgrade to existing systems: additional observing time
  - Current DSN radar observation rate ~7-60x less than pace to “keep up” with potential optical discoveries, fully assess impact hazard in ~2 decades
- Increasing GSSR transmitter power **would *not* greatly improve risk reduction** (detectability +5%) **or population characterization** (high-res. SNR cases +0.3%)
- A new **southern hemisphere radar site** can significantly accelerate risk reduction and physical characterization:
  - ✓ Effectively increases available observing time 1.5 - 2x + by sharing load
  - ✓ Provides longer tracking passes for better characterization of many PHAs
  - ✓ Detects the 2 - 7% of targets uniquely visible in southern hemisphere